

Strong propagation of chaos for systems of interacting particles with nearly stable jumps

Elisa Marini

CEREMADE - Université Paris Dauphine-PSL

Jointly with **Eva Löcherbach** and **Dasha Loukianova**

12ième Biennale Française des Mathématiques Appliquées et Industrielles

Carcans Maubuisson - June 5, 2025

α -stable r.v.s and their domains of attraction

- A r.v. X is **strictly stable of index $\alpha \in (0, 2]$** if, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma n^{1/\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \stackrel{d}{=} X$$

where $(X_i)_{i=1}^n$ are i.i.d. copies of X , $\sigma > 0$.

α -stable r.v.s and their domains of attraction

- A r.v. X is **strictly stable of index $\alpha \in (0, 2]$** if, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma n^{1/\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \stackrel{d}{=} X$$

where $(X_i)_{i=1}^n$ are i.i.d. copies of X , $\sigma > 0$.

- A r.v. U belongs to the normal **domain of attraction** of a stable r.v. X of index α if, taking $(U_i)_{i \geq 1}$ i.i.d. $\sim U$ and for suitably chosen constants $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n U_i - a_n}{n^{1/\alpha}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} X \quad \text{in law (stable CLT).}$$

α -stable r.v.s and their domains of attraction

- A r.v. X is **strictly stable of index $\alpha \in (0, 2]$** if, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma n^{1/\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \stackrel{d}{=} X$$

where $(X_i)_{i=1}^n$ are i.i.d. copies of X , $\sigma > 0$.

- A r.v. U belongs to the normal **domain of attraction** of a stable r.v. X of index α if, taking $(U_i)_{i \geq 1}$ i.i.d. $\sim U$ and for suitably chosen constants $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n U_i - a_n}{n^{1/\alpha}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} X \quad \text{in law (stable CLT).}$$

Moments up to $q \leq \alpha$!

α -stable r.v.s and their domains of attraction

- A r.v. X is **strictly stable of index $\alpha \in (0, 2]$** if, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma n^{1/\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \stackrel{d}{=} X$$

where $(X_i)_{i=1}^n$ are i.i.d. copies of X , $\sigma > 0$.

- A r.v. U belongs to the normal **domain of attraction** of a stable r.v. X of index α if, taking $(U_i)_{i \geq 1}$ i.i.d. $\sim U$ and for suitably chosen constants $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n U_i - a_n}{n^{1/\alpha}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} X \quad \text{in law (stable CLT).}$$

Moments up to $q \leq \alpha$!

We will take:

- $\alpha \in (0, 2) \setminus \{1\}$;
- ν **nearly stable** of index α , **centered** if $\alpha > 1$.

N -particle system

N particles with positions $X^{N,i} \in \mathbb{R}$.

N -particle system

N particles with positions $X^{N,i} \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^{N,i} = & X_0^i + \int_0^t \mathbf{b}(X_s^{N,i}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_s^N) ds + \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+} \boldsymbol{\psi}(X_{s-}^{N,i}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{s-}^N) \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(X_{s-}^{N,i})\}} \bar{\pi}^i(ds, dz) \\ & + \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{u}{N^{1/\alpha}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(X_{s-}^{N,j})\}} \pi^j(ds, dz, du) \quad i = 1, \dots, N \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

N -particle system

N particles with positions $X^{N,i} \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^{N,i} = & X_0^i + \int_0^t \mathbf{b}(X_s^{N,i}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_s^N) ds + \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+} \boldsymbol{\psi}(X_{s-}^{N,i}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{s-}^N) \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(X_{s-}^{N,i})\}} \bar{\pi}^i(ds, dz) \\ & + \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{u}{N^{1/\alpha}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(X_{s-}^{N,j})\}} \pi^j(ds, dz, du) \quad i = 1, \dots, N \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where

- $\boldsymbol{\mu}_t^N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_t^{N,i}}$ empirical measure of the system at time t ;

N -particle system

N particles with positions $X^{N,i} \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^{N,i} = & X_0^i + \int_0^t \mathbf{b}(X_s^{N,i}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_s^N) ds + \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+} \boldsymbol{\psi}(X_{s-}^{N,i}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{s-}^N) \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(X_{s-}^{N,i})\}} \bar{\pi}^i(ds, dz) \\ & + \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{u}{N^{1/\alpha}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(X_{s-}^{N,j})\}} \pi^j(ds, dz, du) \quad i = 1, \dots, N \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where

- $\boldsymbol{\mu}_t^N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_t^{N,i}}$ empirical measure of the system at time t ;
- $(\pi^i)_{i=1}^N$ independent PRM's on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ with intensity $d\mathbf{s}dz\nu(du)$ and $\bar{\pi}^i(ds, dz) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi^i(ds, dz, du)$, independent PRM's on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with Leb intensity;

N -particle system

N particles with positions $X^{N,i} \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_t^{N,i} = & X_0^i + \int_0^t \mathbf{b}(X_s^{N,i}, \mu_s^N) ds + \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+} \psi(X_{s-}^{N,i}, \mu_{s-}^N) \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(X_{s-}^{N,i})\}} \bar{\pi}^i(ds, dz) \\
 & + \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{u}{N^{1/\alpha}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(X_{s-}^{N,j})\}} \pi^j(ds, dz, du) \quad i = 1, \dots, N
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where

- $\mu_t^N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_t^{N,i}}$ empirical measure of the system at time t ;
- $(\pi^i)_{i=1}^N$ independent PRM's on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ with intensity $ds dz \nu(du)$ and $\bar{\pi}^i(ds, dz) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi^i(ds, dz, du)$, independent PRM's on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with Leb intensity;
- $\psi \equiv 0$ if $\alpha > 1$.

N -particle system

N particles with positions $X^{N,i} \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^{N,i} = & X_0^i + \int_0^t \mathbf{b}(X_s^{N,i}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_s^N) ds + \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+} \psi(X_{s-}^{N,i}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{s-}^N) \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(X_{s-}^{N,i})\}} \bar{\pi}^i(ds, dz) \\ & + \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{u}{N^{1/\alpha}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(X_{s-}^{N,j})\}} \pi^j(ds, dz, du) \quad i = 1, \dots, N \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where

- $\boldsymbol{\mu}_t^N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_t^{N,i}}$ empirical measure of the system at time t ;
- $(\pi^i)_{i=1}^N$ independent PRM's on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ with intensity $ds dz \nu(du)$ and $\bar{\pi}^i(ds, dz) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi^i(ds, dz, du)$, independent PRM's on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with Leb intensity;
- $\psi \equiv 0$ if $\alpha > 1$.

Think about families of interacting neurons.

N -particle system

N particles with positions $X^{N,i} \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^{N,i} = X_0^i &+ \int_0^t \mathbf{b}(X_s^{N,i}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_s^N) ds + \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+} \boldsymbol{\psi}(X_{s-}^{N,i}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{s-}^N) \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(X_{s-}^{N,i})\}} \bar{\pi}^i(ds, dz) \\ &+ \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{u}{N^{1/\alpha}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(X_{s-}^{N,j})\}} \pi^j(ds, dz, du) \quad i = 1, \dots, N \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where

- $\boldsymbol{\mu}_t^N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_t^{N,i}}$ empirical measure of the system at time t ;
- $(\pi^i)_{i=1}^N$ independent PRM's on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ with intensity $ds dz \nu(du)$ and $\bar{\pi}^i(ds, dz) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi^i(ds, dz, du)$, independent PRM's on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with Leb intensity;
- $\boldsymbol{\psi} \equiv 0$ if $\alpha > 1$.

Think about families of interacting neurons.

We can prove strong existence and uniqueness for the N -particle system.

Limit system

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X}_t^i &= \bar{X}_0^i + \int_0^t b(\bar{X}_s^i, \bar{\mu}_s) ds + \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+} \psi(\bar{X}_{s-}^i, \bar{\mu}_{s-}) \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(\bar{X}_{s-}^i)\}} \bar{\pi}^i(ds, dz) \\ &\quad + \int_{[0,t]} \bar{\mu}_{s-}^{1/\alpha}(f) dS_s^\alpha, \quad i \geq 1\end{aligned}$$

Limit system

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X}_t^i &= \bar{X}_0^i + \int_0^t b(\bar{X}_s^i, \bar{\mu}_s) ds + \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+} \psi(\bar{X}_{s-}^i, \bar{\mu}_{s-}) \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(\bar{X}_{s-}^i)\}} \bar{\pi}^i(ds, dz) \\ &\quad + \int_{[0,t]} \bar{\mu}_{s-}^{1/\alpha}(f) dS_s^\alpha, \quad i \geq 1\end{aligned}$$

where

- $\bar{\mu}_s := \mathcal{L}(\bar{X}_s^1 | S_u^\alpha, u \leq s)$ and $\mu(f) = \int f d\mu$;

Limit system

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X}_t^i &= \bar{X}_0^i + \int_0^t b(\bar{X}_s^i, \bar{\mu}_s) ds + \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+} \psi(\bar{X}_{s-}^i, \bar{\mu}_{s-}) \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(\bar{X}_{s-}^i)\}} \bar{\pi}^i(ds, dz) \\ &\quad + \int_{[0,t]} \bar{\mu}_{s-}^{1/\alpha}(f) dS_s^\alpha, \quad i \geq 1\end{aligned}$$

where

- $\bar{\mu}_s := \mathcal{L}(\bar{X}_s^1 | S_u^\alpha, u \leq s)$ and $\mu(f) = \int f d\mu$;
- $(\bar{\pi}^i)_{i=1}^N$ are independent PRM's on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with intensity $dsdz$;

Limit system

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X}_t^i &= \bar{X}_0^i + \int_0^t b(\bar{X}_s^i, \bar{\mu}_s) ds + \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+} \psi(\bar{X}_{s-}^i, \bar{\mu}_{s-}) \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(\bar{X}_{s-}^i)\}} \bar{\pi}^i(ds, dz) \\ &\quad + \int_{[0,t]} \bar{\mu}_{s-}^{1/\alpha}(f) dS_s^\alpha, \quad i \geq 1\end{aligned}$$

where

- $\bar{\mu}_s := \mathcal{L}(\bar{X}_s^1 | S_u^\alpha, u \leq s)$ and $\mu(f) = \int f d\mu$;
- $(\bar{\pi}^i)_{i=1}^N$ are independent PRM's on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with intensity $dsdz$;
- $\psi \equiv 0$ if $\alpha > 1$;

Limit system

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X}_t^i &= \bar{X}_0^i + \int_0^t b(\bar{X}_s^i, \bar{\mu}_s) ds + \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+} \psi(\bar{X}_{s-}^i, \bar{\mu}_{s-}) \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(\bar{X}_{s-}^i)\}} \bar{\pi}^i(ds, dz) \\ &\quad + \int_{[0,t]} \bar{\mu}_{s-}^{1/\alpha}(f) dS_s^\alpha, \quad i \geq 1\end{aligned}$$

where

- $\bar{\mu}_s := \mathcal{L}(\bar{X}_s^1 | S_u^\alpha, u \leq s)$ and $\mu(f) = \int f d\mu$;
- $(\bar{\pi}^i)_{i=1}^N$ are independent PRM's on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with intensity $d s d z$;
- $\psi \equiv 0$ if $\alpha > 1$;
- S^α driving strictly α -stable process independent of the $(\bar{\pi}^i)_i$.

Limit system

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X}_t^i &= \bar{X}_0^i + \int_0^t b(\bar{X}_s^i, \bar{\mu}_s) ds + \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+} \psi(\bar{X}_{s-}^i, \bar{\mu}_{s-}) \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(\bar{X}_{s-}^i)\}} \bar{\pi}^i(ds, dz) \\ &\quad + \int_{[0,t]} \bar{\mu}_{s-}^{1/\alpha}(f) dS_s^\alpha, \quad i \geq 1\end{aligned}$$

where

- $\bar{\mu}_s := \mathcal{L}(\bar{X}_s^1 | S_u^\alpha, u \leq s)$ and $\mu(f) = \int f d\mu$;
- $(\bar{\pi}^i)_{i=1}^N$ are independent PRM's on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with intensity $d s d z$;
- $\psi \equiv 0$ if $\alpha > 1$;
- S^α driving strictly α -stable process independent of the $(\bar{\pi}^i)_i$ s.

We can prove strong existence and uniqueness for the limit system.

Main result

Theorem (Löcherbach, Loukianova, M. - 2025)

Under appropriate assumptions, for any $N \geq 1$, *we can construct a strictly α -stable process $S^{N,\alpha}$* , on an extension of the original probability space, independent of the initial conditions $(X_0^i)_i$ and of the $(\bar{\pi}^i)_i$, such that the following holds. If $(\bar{X}^i)_i$ is the solution of the limit system driven by $S^{N,\alpha}$ and $(\bar{\pi}^i)_i$, and $T_K^N := \inf\{t \geq 0 : |\Delta S_t^{N,\alpha}| > K\}$, for any $K > 0$, $t \geq 0$ and $i = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t < T_K^N\}} |X_t^{N,i} - \bar{X}_t^i| \wedge |X_t^{N,i} - \bar{X}_t^i|^{\alpha_-}] \leq C_t r(N) \quad \alpha < 1$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t < T_K^N\}} |X_t^{N,i} - \bar{X}_t^i|] \leq \tilde{C}_t \tilde{r}(N) \quad \alpha > 1$$

where C_t, \tilde{C}_t are constants and r, \tilde{r} explicit rates, $\alpha_- < \alpha$.

Main result

Convergence of the finite particle system to the limit one in a **convenient distance**.

Main result

Convergence of the finite particle system to the limit one in a **convenient distance**.

Strong convergence (**strong** propagation of chaos): coupling argument yields convergence rate.

Main result

Convergence of the finite particle system to the limit one in a **convenient distance**.

Strong convergence (**strong** propagation of chaos): coupling argument yields convergence rate.

S^α is a source of **common noise** (**conditional** propagation of chaos): particles are not independent, but they are i.i.d., knowing S^α . $\implies (\mu_t^N)_{N \geq 1} \xrightarrow{w} \bar{\mu}_t$.

Main result

Convergence of the finite particle system to the limit one in a **convenient distance**.

Strong convergence (**strong** propagation of chaos): coupling argument yields convergence rate.

S^α is a source of **common noise** (**conditional** propagation of chaos): particles are not independent, but they are i.i.d., knowing S^α . $\implies (\mu_t^N)_{N \geq 1} \xrightarrow{w} \bar{\mu}_t$.

Corollary (Löcherbach, Loukianova, M. - 2025)

Under the previous assumptions,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} W_{d_{\alpha-}}(\mathcal{L}(X_t^{N,i}), \mathcal{L}(\bar{X}_t^i)) &= 0 & \alpha < 1 \\ \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} W_1(\mathcal{L}(X_t^{N,i}), \mathcal{L}(\bar{X}_t^i)) &= 0 & \alpha > 1, \end{aligned}$$

so we have **weak convergence of $\mathcal{L}(X_t^{N,i})$ to $\mathcal{L}(\bar{X}_t^i)$** together with convergence of the first moments^a.

^a $W_{d_{\alpha-}}(\nu_1, \nu_2) := \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\nu_1, \nu_2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d_{\alpha-}(x, y) \pi(dx, dy)$, $d_{\alpha-}(x, y) := |x - y| \wedge |x - y|^{\alpha-}$.

Limit system

S^α is a Lévy processes (\implies independent, stationary increments) with strictly stable increments.

Limit system

S^α is a Lévy processes (\implies independent, stationary increments) with strictly stable increments.

S_1^α is strictly α -stable r.v.

Limit system

S^α is a Lévy processes (\implies independent, stationary increments) with strictly stable increments.

S_1^α is strictly α -stable r.v.

S^α is self-similar:

$$S_t^\alpha \stackrel{d}{=} t^{1/\alpha} S_1^\alpha.$$

Limit system

S^α is a **Lévy processes** (\implies independent, stationary increments) with **strictly stable increments**.

S_1^α is **strictly α -stable** r.v.

S^α is **self-similar**:

$$S_t^\alpha \stackrel{d}{=} t^{1/\alpha} S_1^\alpha.$$

Understanding how S^α arises is the key to our convergence proof.

Heuristics: how we obtain the limit system

$$A_t^N := \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{u}{N^{1/\alpha}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(X_{s-}^{N,j})\}} \pi^j(ds, dz, du).$$

Heuristics: how we obtain the limit system

$$A_t^N := \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{u}{N^{1/\alpha}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(X_{s-}^{N,j})\}} \pi^j(ds, dz, du).$$

If $f(x) \equiv \lambda$ on $[0, t]$, then

$$A_t^N = \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{1/\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{P_{0,t}^N} U_k$$

$$(U_k)_{k \geq 1} \text{ i.i.d. } \sim \nu$$

$$P_{0,t}^N \sim \text{Pois}(N\lambda t), \quad P_{0,t}^N \perp\!\!\!\perp (U_k)_{k \geq 1}.$$

Heuristics: how we obtain the limit system

$$A_t^N := \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{u}{N^{1/\alpha}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(X_{s-}^{N,j})\}} \pi^j(ds, dz, du).$$

If $f(x) \equiv \lambda$ on $[0, t]$, then

$$A_t^N = \left(\frac{P_{0,t}^N}{N} \right)^{1/\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{P_{0,t}^N} \right)^{1/\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{P_{0,t}^N} U_k$$

$$(U_k)_{k \geq 1} \text{ i.i.d. } \sim \nu$$

$$P_{0,t}^N \sim \text{Pois}(N\lambda t), \quad P_{0,t}^N \perp\!\!\!\perp (U_k)_{k \geq 1}.$$

Heuristics: how we obtain the limit system

$$A_t^N := \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{u}{N^{1/\alpha}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(X_{s-}^{N,j})\}} \pi^j(ds, dz, du).$$

If $f(x) \equiv \lambda$ on $[0, t]$, then

$$A_t^N = \left(\frac{P_{0,t}^N}{N} \right)^{1/\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{P_{0,t}^N} \right)^{1/\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{P_{0,t}^N} U_k$$

$$(U_k)_{k \geq 1} \text{ i.i.d. } \sim \nu$$

$$P_{0,t}^N \sim \text{Pois}(N\lambda t), \quad P_{0,t}^N \perp\!\!\!\perp (U_k)_{k \geq 1}.$$

Suppose **stable CLT** holds:

$$A_t^N \stackrel{N \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \left(\frac{P_{0,t}^N}{N} \right)^{1/\alpha} S_1^\alpha$$

$$S_1^\alpha \text{ limit } \alpha\text{-stable r.v.}$$

Heuristics: how we obtain the limit system

$$A_t^N := \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{u}{N^{1/\alpha}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(X_{s-}^{N,j})\}} \pi^j(ds, dz, du).$$

If $f(x) \equiv \lambda$ on $[0, t]$, then

$$A_t^N = \left(\frac{P_{0,t}^N}{N} \right)^{1/\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{P_{0,t}^N} \right)^{1/\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{P_{0,t}^N} U_k \quad (U_k)_{k \geq 1} \text{ i.i.d. } \sim \nu$$

$$P_{0,t}^N \sim \text{Pois}(N\lambda t), \quad P_{0,t}^N \perp\!\!\!\perp (U_k)_{k \geq 1}.$$

Suppose **stable CLT** holds:

$$A_t^N \stackrel{N \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \left(\frac{P_{0,t}^N}{N} \right)^{1/\alpha} S_1^\alpha \quad S_1^\alpha \text{ limit } \alpha\text{-stable r.v.}$$

LLN for $P_{0,t}^N$ yields

$$A_t^N \stackrel{N \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \left(\frac{N\lambda t}{N} \right)^{1/\alpha} S_1^\alpha \stackrel{d}{=} \lambda^{1/\alpha} S_t^\alpha = \int_{[0,t]} \lambda^{1/\alpha} dS_s^\alpha$$

where S_t^α is the increment of a stable process during time t (**self-similarity**).

Non constant rate: time discretization

If f not constant: $[k\delta, (k+1)\delta[, \forall k \geq 0$.

¹See Chen, Nourdin, Xu 2021 and Chen, Nourdin, Xu, Yang, Zhang 2022 for quantitative stable CLT.

Non constant rate: time discretization

If **f not constant**: $[k\delta, (k+1)\delta[, \forall k \geq 0$.

On each interval $[k\delta, (k+1)\delta[$:

- We **freeze jumps rates** $f(X_{k\delta}^{N,i})$ (total main jump rate in k -th interval is $\sum_{i=1}^N f(X_{k\delta}^{N,i})$).
- We apply the approximations seen above¹ to obtain

$$\left(\frac{\text{total jump rate} \times \delta}{N} \right)^{1/\alpha} S_1^{\alpha,k} = \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^N f(X_{k\delta}^{N,j})}{N} \right)^{1/\alpha} \delta^{1/\alpha} S_1^{\alpha,k}.$$

¹See Chen, Nourdin, Xu 2021 and Chen, Nourdin, Xu, Yang, Zhang 2022 for quantitative stable CLT.

Non constant rate: time discretization

If **f not constant**: $[k\delta, (k+1)\delta[, \forall k \geq 0$.

On each interval $[k\delta, (k+1)\delta[$:

- We **freeze jumps rates** $f(X_{k\delta}^{N,i})$ (total main jump rate in k -th interval is $\sum_{i=1}^N f(X_{k\delta}^{N,i})$).
- We apply the approximations seen above¹ to obtain

$$\left(\frac{\text{total jump rate} \times \delta}{N} \right)^{1/\alpha} S_1^{\alpha,k} = \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^N f(X_{k\delta}^{N,j})}{N} \right)^{1/\alpha} \delta^{1/\alpha} S_1^{\alpha,k}.$$

The contribution of all intervals should give

$$\sum_k \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N f(X_{k\delta}^{N,i})}{N} \right)^{1/\alpha} \delta^{1/\alpha} S_1^{\alpha,k} \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_k (\mu_{k\delta}^N(f))^{1/\alpha} S_\delta^{\alpha,k} \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t (\bar{\mu}_{s-}(f))^{1/\alpha} dS_s^\alpha.$$

¹See Chen, Nourdin, Xu 2021 and Chen, Nourdin, Xu, Yang, Zhang 2022 for quantitative stable CLT.

Another key step

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X}_t^i &= \bar{X}_0^i + \int_0^t b(\bar{X}_s^i, \bar{\mu}_s^N) ds + \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+} \psi(\bar{X}_{s-}^i, \bar{\mu}_{s-}^N) \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(\bar{X}_{s-}^i)\}} \bar{\pi}^i(ds, dz) \\ &\quad + \int_{[0,t]} (\bar{\mu}_{s-}^N(f))^{1/\alpha} dS_s^{N,\alpha} + R_t^N\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\mu}_t^N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\bar{X}_t^i}$.

Another key step

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X}_t^i &= \bar{X}_0^i + \int_0^t b(\bar{X}_s^i, \bar{\mu}_s^N) ds + \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+} \psi(\bar{X}_{s-}^i, \bar{\mu}_{s-}^N) \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(\bar{X}_{s-}^i)\}} \bar{\pi}^i(ds, dz) \\ &\quad + \int_{[0,t]} (\bar{\mu}_{s-}^N(f))^{1/\alpha} dS_s^{N,\alpha} + R_t^N\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\mu}_t^N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\bar{X}_t^i}$.

Proposition (Löcherbach, Loukianova, M. - 2025)

Under appropriate assumptions, for all $N \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t \leq T_K^N\}} |R_t^N|] \leq C_t \frac{K^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} w(N) \quad \alpha < 1$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t \leq T_K^N\}} |R_t^N|^{\alpha_+}] \leq \tilde{C}_t \frac{K^{\alpha_+ - \alpha}}{\alpha_+ - \alpha} \tilde{w}(N) \quad \alpha > 1$$

where C_t, \tilde{C}_t are positive constants and $w(N, \delta), \tilde{w}(N, \delta)$ explicit rates, and $\alpha_+ \in (\alpha, 2)$.

Another key step

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X}_t^i &= \bar{X}_0^i + \int_0^t b(\bar{X}_s^i, \bar{\mu}_s^N) ds + \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_+} \psi(\bar{X}_{s-}^i, \bar{\mu}_{s-}^N) \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f(\bar{X}_{s-}^i)\}} \bar{\pi}^i(ds, dz) \\ &\quad + \int_{[0,t]} (\bar{\mu}_{s-}^N(f))^{1/\alpha} dS_s^{N,\alpha} + R_t^N\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\mu}_t^N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\bar{X}_t^i}$.

Proposition (Löcherbach, Loukianova, M. - 2025)

Under appropriate assumptions, for all $N \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t \leq T_K^N\}} |R_t^N|] \leq C_t \frac{K^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} w(N) \quad \alpha < 1$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t \leq T_K^N\}} |R_t^N|^{\alpha_+}] \leq \tilde{C}_t \frac{K^{\alpha_+ - \alpha}}{\alpha_+ - \alpha} \tilde{w}(N) \quad \alpha > 1$$

where C_t, \tilde{C}_t are positive constants and $w(N, \delta), \tilde{w}(N, \delta)$ explicit rates, and $\alpha_+ \in (\alpha, 2)$.

It is sufficient to control $W_{r(\alpha)}(\bar{\mu}_t^N, \bar{\mu}_t)$ with $r(\alpha)$ order depending on α (Fournier, Guillin 2015).

Norms

For errors related to **collateral jump term and finite system**:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t < T_K^N\}} |\cdot| \wedge |\cdot|^{\alpha-}] & \text{for } \alpha < 1, \alpha_- < \alpha \\ \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t < T_K^N\}} |\cdot|] & \text{for } \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$

For errors related to **collateral jump term and finite system**:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t < T_K^N\}} |\cdot| \wedge |\cdot|^{\alpha-}] & \text{for } \alpha < 1, \alpha_- < \alpha \\ \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t < T_K^N\}} |\cdot|] & \text{for } \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$

For the **stochastic integrals and their convergence**:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t < T_K^N\}} |\cdot|] & \text{for } \alpha < 1 \\ \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t < T_K^N\}} |\cdot|^{\alpha+}] & \text{for } \alpha > 1, \alpha_+ > \alpha. \end{cases}$$

For errors related to **collateral jump term and finite system**:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t < T_K^N\}} |\cdot| \wedge |\cdot|^{\alpha-}] & \text{for } \alpha < 1, \alpha_- < \alpha \\ \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t < T_K^N\}} |\cdot|] & \text{for } \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$

For the **stochastic integrals and their convergence**:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t < T_K^N\}} |\cdot|] & \text{for } \alpha < 1 \\ \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t < T_K^N\}} |\cdot|^{\alpha+}] & \text{for } \alpha > 1, \alpha_+ > \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Why?

For errors related to **collateral jump term and finite system**:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t < T_K^N\}} |\cdot| \wedge |\cdot|^{\alpha-}] & \text{for } \alpha < 1, \alpha_- < \alpha \\ \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t < T_K^N\}} |\cdot|] & \text{for } \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$

For the **stochastic integrals and their convergence**:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t < T_K^N\}} |\cdot|] & \text{for } \alpha < 1 \\ \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{t < T_K^N\}} |\cdot|^{\alpha+}] & \text{for } \alpha > 1, \alpha_+ > \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Why?

Finite and limit systems have different natures.

Norms

Collateral jumps have finite q -th moments for all $q < \alpha$.

Norms

Collateral jumps have finite q -th moments for all $q < \alpha$.

The jumps of $S^{N,\alpha}$ are represented by a PRM with intensity $ds\nu^\alpha(dz)$,

$$\nu^\alpha(dz) = \frac{c_1}{z^{\alpha+1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z>0\}} dz + \frac{c_2}{|z|^{\alpha+1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z<0\}} dz.$$

Norms

Collateral jumps have **finite q -th moments** for all $q < \alpha$.

The jumps of $S^{N,\alpha}$ are represented by a PRM with **intensity $d\nu^\alpha(dz)$** ,

$$\nu^\alpha(dz) = \frac{c_1}{|z|^{\alpha+1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z>0\}} dz + \frac{c_2}{|z|^{\alpha+1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z<0\}} dz.$$

In particular, for all $K > 0$,

$$\int_{B_K^c} |z|^q \nu^\alpha(dz) < +\infty \iff q < \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_K} |z|^q \nu^\alpha(dz) < +\infty \iff q > \alpha.$$

Norms

Collateral jumps have finite q -th moments for all $q < \alpha$.

The jumps of $S^{N,\alpha}$ are represented by a PRM with intensity $ds\nu^\alpha(dz)$,

$$\nu^\alpha(dz) = \frac{c_1}{z^{\alpha+1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z>0\}} dz + \frac{c_2}{|z|^{\alpha+1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z<0\}} dz.$$

In particular, for all $K > 0$,

$$\int_{B_K^c} |z|^q \nu^\alpha(dz) < +\infty \iff q < \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_K} |z|^q \nu^\alpha(dz) < +\infty \iff q > \alpha.$$

We cut big jumps of $S^{N,\alpha}$ ($\mathbb{1}_{\{t < T_K^N\}}$) and we use

$$\int_{B_K} z^q \nu^\alpha(dz) < +\infty \quad \text{with} \quad q > \alpha.$$

Norms

Collateral jumps have finite q -th moments for all $q < \alpha$.

The jumps of $S^{N,\alpha}$ are represented by a PRM with intensity $ds\nu^\alpha(dz)$,

$$\nu^\alpha(dz) = \frac{c_1}{z^{\alpha+1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z>0\}} dz + \frac{c_2}{|z|^{\alpha+1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z<0\}} dz.$$

In particular, for all $K > 0$,

$$\int_{B_K^c} |z|^q \nu^\alpha(dz) < +\infty \iff q < \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_K} |z|^q \nu^\alpha(dz) < +\infty \iff q > \alpha.$$

We cut big jumps of $S^{N,\alpha}$ ($\mathbb{1}_{\{t < T_K^N\}}$) and we use

$$\int_{B_K} z^q \nu^\alpha(dz) < +\infty \quad \text{with} \quad q > \alpha.$$

Boundedness and Lipschitz properties of b , f , ψ allow to switch.

Work in progress and future directions

- $(\mu^N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{(X_t^{N,i})_{t \geq 0}})_{N \geq 1} \xrightarrow{w} \bar{\mu} := \mathcal{L}(\bar{X}^1 | S^\alpha)$ (on the **path space**).
- **Long-time** behavior of both the finite and the limit systems.
- Study of a **spatially structured** version of the model, where the interactions depend on the particle positions in \mathbb{R}^d .

Thank you!

References

- ① Löcherbach, Loukianova, E.M. *Strong propagation of chaos for systems of interacting particles with nearly stable jumps*. Electron. J. Probab., 2025.
- ② Chen, Nourdin, Xu. *Stein's method for asymmetric α -stable distributions, with application to the stable CLT*. J. Theor. Probab., 2021.
- ③ Chen, Nourdin, Xu, Yang, Zhang. *Non-integrable stable approximation by Stein's method*. J. Theor. Probab., 2022.
- ④ Fournier, Guillin. *On the rate of convergence in Wasserstein distance of the empirical measure*. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, 2015.
- ⑤ Sato. *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*. 1999.