Une caractérisation "simple" de GBD

Antonin Chambolle and Vito Crismale

CEREMADE, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Dauphine / PSL, France Dip. di matematica, Roma-La Sapienza

SMAI 2025





Was Introduced by G. Dal Maso to tackle the minimization of *Griffith's energy*:

$$\min_{(u,K)} \int_{\Omega\setminus K} \mathbb{C}e(u) : e(u)dx + \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(K) =: \mathcal{E}(u,K)$$

subject to $u = U^0$ on $\partial^D \Omega \setminus K$, where $K \subset \Omega$ is a crack set (of co-dimension 1), $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is an infinitesimal displacement, and $e(u) = (Du + Du^T)/2$ is the symmetrized gradient. (\mathbb{C} is the "Hooke's law", typically $\mathbb{C}e(u) = \lambda(\operatorname{Tre}(u))I + 2\mu e(u)$ for an isotropic linear elastic material).

[Problem introduced in the late 90's by Francfort and Marigo to model crack growth in linearized elasticity.]

Difficulty: Energy space for $\mathcal{E}(u, K)$?

Minimizing sequences

If (u_n, K_n) is a minimizing sequence for $\mathcal{E}, K_n \to K$ in the Hausdorff sense (up to a subsequence), $u_n \to u$ in $H^1_{loc}(\Omega \setminus K)$ and (easy)

$$\int_{\Omega\setminus K} \mathbb{C}e(u): e(u)dx \leq \liminf_n \int_{\Omega\setminus K_n} \mathbb{C}e(u_n): e(u_n)dx.$$

Yet, with this approach, very hard (and not true in general) to show:

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(K) \leq \liminf_n \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(K_n).$$

- True if K_n , K are assumed connected, in 2D;
- For the "Mumford-Shah functional" which is the scalar variant: 2D by Dal Maso-Morel-Solimini (early 90's) [first bound the number of components then send it to +∞];
- Still Mumford-Shah, any dimension: modify K_n by removing low density pieces: Maddalena-Solimini (early 2000's).

Weak formulation

Alternatively, one introduces a "weak formulation":

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}e(u) : e(u)dx + \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(J_u)$$

where J_u is the *jump set* of u, that is the set of points

$$J_u = \left\{ x \in \Omega : u(x + ry) \xrightarrow{L^1(B_1)} u^+(x) \chi_{\{y \cdot \nu_u(x) \ge 0\}} + u^-(x) \chi_{\{y \cdot \nu_u(x) < 0\}} \right\}$$

which is a rectifiable (d - 1)-dimensional set (Del Nin, 2021) Issue: for which functions can we define J_u (and e(u) out of J_u)?

BV, SBV, GSBV, BD, SBD...

In the scalar setting, the relevant space is BV, the space of functions with bounded variations, whose gradient Du is a bounded Radon measure. One can show that \mathcal{H}^{d-1} -a.e. point is either a jump point (J_u) or a Lebesgue point, and Du is decomposed as

 $Du = \nabla u(x)dx + Cu + (u^+ - u^-) \otimes \nu_u \mathcal{H}^{d-1}|_{J_u}$

with Cu the "Cantor part" which is "in between" dimensions d and d-1. Then, "SBV" are the functions for which Cu = 0, and the Mumford-Shah energy is coercive and lsc. in SBV (Ambrosio's compactness theorem) provided the minimizing sequence is uniformly bounded.

Reason: $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(J_u)$ does not control, in general, $\int_{J_u} |u^+ - u^-| d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}$ which is the mass of the jump part of the differential \rightarrow "*GBV*", "*GSBV*" defined by truncation.

BV, SBV, GSBV, BD, SBD...

In the vectorial linearized elasticity setting, BV has to be replaced with "BD" the set of displacements $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$ such that the symmetrized gradient $Eu = (Du + Du^T)/2$ is a Radon measure. Then, one has:

 $Eu = e(u)(x)dx + Cu + (u^+ - u^-) \odot \nu_u \mathcal{H}^{d-1}|_{J_u}$

where $a \odot b = (a \otimes b + b \otimes a)/2$ is the symmetrized tensor product of two vectors.

Again: "*SBD*" if Cu = 0, is enough to minimize the weak Griffith energy with an additional constraint $||u||_{\infty} \leq C$.

But in general? No a priori L^{∞} bound. No easy strategy as in the scalar case (truncate, show maximum principle...)

No "working" definition until Dal Maso's suggestion around 2010. Idea of Dal Maso: use 1D "slices".

1D Slicing of BV or BD functions

Indeed, $u \in BV$ if and only if for all $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and almost all $z \in \xi^{\perp}$,

$$egin{aligned} & u_{\xi,z}:s\mapsto u(z+s\xi) ext{ is } BV, & ext{ and } \ & |Du|&pprox \max_{\xi}\int_{\xi^{\perp}}|Du_{\xi,z}|(\mathbb{R})d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(z)<+\infty. \end{aligned}$$

But, in fact, one has

$$\int_{\xi^{\perp}} |Du_{\xi,z}|(\mathbb{R}) d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(z) = |\xi \cdot Du|$$

and it is enough to control this for a basis $\xi \in \{e_i : i = 1, ..., d\}$ to obtain that $u \in BV$.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

1D Slicing of BV or BD functions

In the same way:, $u \in BD$ if and only if for all $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and almost all $z \in \xi^{\perp}$,

$$u_{\xi,z}: s \mapsto \xi \cdot u(z+s\xi) ext{ is } BV, ext{ and } |Eu| pprox \max_{\xi} \int_{\xi^{\perp}} |Du_{\xi,z}|(\mathbb{R}) d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(z) < +\infty.$$

But, in fact, one has

$$\int_{\xi^{\perp}} |Du_{\xi,z}|(\mathbb{R}) d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(z) = |\xi \cdot (Eu \cdot \xi)|$$

and it is enough to control this for a basis $\xi \in \{e_i : i = 1, ..., d\}$ and the directions $\{e_i + e_j : 1 \le i < j \le d\}$ to obtain that $u \in BD$.

1D slicing of BV or BD functions

We recall indeed that [for u smooth]

$$\frac{d}{ds}(u(z+s\xi)) = \langle Du(z+s\xi), \xi \rangle$$

is controlled by $\int |\nabla u| dx$ (on average), but not (if u vectorial) by $\int |e(u)| dx$, while

$$\frac{d}{ds}(\xi \cdot u(z+s\xi)) = \langle {}^t \xi Du(z+s\xi), \xi \rangle = \langle {}^t \xi e(u)(z+s\xi), \xi \rangle$$

is a symmetric expression of Du and controlled (on average) by $\int |e(u)| dx$

Dal Maso's definition [JEMS, 2011]

Definition $u: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$ (measurable) is in *GBD* if and only if for all (or a.e.) $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and a.e. $z \in \xi^{\perp}$,

 $\blacktriangleright \ u_{\xi,z}: s \mapsto \xi \cdot u(z+s\xi) \text{ is } BV,$

 $\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}M_{\xi}<+\infty.$

- ► DM shows that such u has a (d-1)-rectifiable jump set J_u and an approximate symmetrized gradient $e(u) \in L^1(\Omega)$ a.e.,
- Then, compactness and lower semicontinuity for minimizing sequences for *E(u)* (AC+Crismale);
- Then, weak minimizers are strong ($K = \overline{J_u}$, Conti-Focardi-Iurlano in 2D, AC-Conti-Iurlano in higher dimension, AC-Crismale with Dirichlet B.C.).

In DM's paper, one really needs that M_{ξ} is bounded for all ξ (or a dense set, but it is shown that $\xi \mapsto M_{\xi}$ is lsc).

Yet in a recent paper of Almi, Davoli, Kubin, Tasso (arXiv:2410.23908), a variant of *GBD* is introduced as the domain of the limit of non-local functionals (in the spirit of Bourgain-Brézis-Mironescu), where it is assumed that only

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} M_{\xi} < +\infty,$$

and they raise the question: is this GBD?

A more natural question is: assume we know that for some basis $\{e_i : i = 1, ..., d\}$,

 $\max_{1 \leq i \leq d} M_{e_i}, \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} M_{e_i + e_j} < +\infty$

then is u in GBD?

The characterization of Almi *et al.* obviously implies that for a.e. orthogonal bases, this will hold. Hence if this characterizes *GBD*, then their variant is also *GBD*.

Theorem [C.-Crismale, 2025] Assume that there exists a basis $\{e_i : i = 1, ..., d\}$ such that

$$\max_{1 \le i \le d} M_{e_i}, \max_{1 \le i < j \le d} M_{e_i + e_j} < +\infty$$

then $u \in GBD$.

(wlog, orthonormal basis)

The proof is relatively simple: since the only tool we can rely on here is slicing, so nothing very fancy. We fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and show, for $V = \{e_i : i = 1, ..., d\} \cup \{e_i + e_j : 1 \le i < j \le d\}$, and $Q = (0, 1)^d$,

$$arepsilon^{d-1}\int_Q\sum_{\xi\in V}\sum_{i\inarepsilon\mathbb{Z}^d}ig(|\xi\cdot(u(arepsilon y+i+arepsilon\xi)-u(arepsilon y+i))|\wedge 1ig)dy\leq C\sum_{\xi\in V}M_{\xi}$$

Indeed, given ξ ,

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon^{d-1} \int_{Q} \sum_{i \in \varepsilon \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \left(|\xi \cdot (u(\underbrace{\varepsilon y + i}_{x} + \varepsilon \xi) - u(\varepsilon y + i))| \wedge 1 \right) dy \\ &= \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\Omega \cap (\Omega - \varepsilon \xi)} \left(|\xi \cdot (u(x + \varepsilon \xi) - u(x))| \wedge 1 \right) dx, \\ &= \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\xi^{\perp}} \int_{\Omega_{\xi, z} \cap (\Omega_{\xi, z} - \varepsilon)} \left(|u_{\xi, z}(s + \varepsilon) - u_{\xi, z}(s)| \wedge 1 \right) |\xi| ds \, d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(z). \end{split}$$

If we let $\mu_{\xi,z}(I) = |Du_{\xi,z}|(I \setminus J_{u_{\xi,z}}) + \sum_{s \in I \cap J_{u_{\xi,z}}} |u_{\xi,z}^+(s) - u_{\xi,z}^-(s)| \wedge 1$, we show that $|u_{\xi,z}(s + \varepsilon) - u_{\xi,z}(s)| \wedge 1 \le \mu_{\xi,z}(s, s + \varepsilon)$

for a.e. s.

And then [using Fubini],

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega_{\xi,z} \cap (\Omega_{\xi,z} - \varepsilon)} |u_{\xi,z}(s + \varepsilon) - u_{\xi,z}(s)| \wedge 1 ds &\leq \int_{\Omega_{\xi,z} \cap (\Omega_{\xi,z} - \varepsilon)} \mu_{\xi,z}(s, s + \varepsilon) ds \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega_{\xi,z} \cap (\Omega_{\xi,z} - \varepsilon)} \chi_{\{s \leq t \leq s + \varepsilon\}} d\mu_{\xi,z}(t) ds \\ &= \int_{\Omega_{\xi,z} \cap (\Omega_{\xi,z} - \varepsilon)} \chi_{\{t - \varepsilon \leq s \leq t\}} ds \, d\mu_{\xi,z}(t) \leq \varepsilon \mu_{\xi,z}(\Omega_{\xi,z}). \end{split}$$

Hence:

$$=\varepsilon^{-1}\int_{\xi^{\perp}}\int_{\Omega_{\xi,z}\cap(\Omega_{\xi,z}-\varepsilon)}|u_{\xi,z}(s+\varepsilon)-u_{\xi,z}(s)|\wedge 1ds\leq \varepsilon^{-1}\int_{\xi^{\perp}}\varepsilon\mu_{\xi,z}(\Omega_{\xi,z})\leq M_{\xi}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ● ●

We have proved our claim:

$$arepsilon^{d-1} \int_Q \sum_{\xi \in V} \sum_{i \in arepsilon \mathbb{Z}^d} \left(|\xi \cdot (u(arepsilon y + i + arepsilon \xi) - u(arepsilon y + i))| \wedge 1 \right) dy \leq C \sum_{\xi \in V} M_{\xi}$$

so that for many $y \in Q$,

$$\varepsilon^{d-1}\sum_{\xi\in V}\sum_{i\in\varepsilon\mathbb{Z}^d}\left(|\xi\cdot(u(\varepsilon y+i+\varepsilon\xi)-u(\varepsilon y+i))|\wedge 1\right)\leq 2C\sum_{\xi\in V}M_{\xi}$$

and it turns out that one can select such y^{ε} such that for a.e. x,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}^d}u(\varepsilon y^\varepsilon+i)\prod_{j=1}^d\left(1-\frac{|x_j-i_j|}{\varepsilon}\right)^+=u(x).$$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ● ● ● ● ●

We then define an approximating sequence u^{ε} as

• the multilinear interpolation of the values $u(\varepsilon y^{\varepsilon} + i)$ (defined in the previous slide) in the cubes such that

 $|\xi \cdot (u(\varepsilon y^{\varepsilon} + j + \varepsilon \xi) - u(\varepsilon y^{\varepsilon} + j))| \leq 1$

for all $\xi \in V$ and all pair of vertices $(j, j + \varepsilon \xi)$ of the cube; • 0, else.

Then the construction guarantees that $u^{\varepsilon} \in SBD(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(J_{u^{\varepsilon}}) \leq C \sum_{\xi \in V} M_{\xi}$, in addition $u^{\varepsilon} \to u$ (in measure or almost everywhere). What about $\int_{\Omega} |e(u^{\varepsilon})| dx$?

The control of the linear interpolates

Lemma Consider the unit cube $Q = [0,1]^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $v \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\{0,1\}^d}$ be given at all vertices of Q such that $v_i(x + e_i) = v_i(x)$ for any $x \in \{0,1\}^d$ with $x_i = 0$ and $v_i(x + e_i + e_j) + v_j(x + e_i + e_j) = v_i(x) + v_j(x)$ for any $x \in \{0,1\}^d$ with $x_i = x_j = 0$.

For $x \in Q$, we also denote by v(x) the multilinear interpolation of the values v at the vertices (affine on each $[x, x + e_i]$ for any $x \in Q$ with $x_i = 0$). Then e(v) = 0 in Q (so that, in fact, v is affine with skew-symmetric gradient).

Corollary: there exists
$$C > 0$$
 such that

$$\int_{Q} |e(v)| dx \leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{x \in \{0,1\}^{d} \\ x_{i}=0 \\ x_{i}=0}} |v_{i}(x+e_{i})-v_{i}(x)| + \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{x \in \{0,1\}^{d} \\ x_{i}=x_{j}=0}} |v_{i}(x+e_{i}+e_{j})+v_{j}(x+e_{i}+e_{j})-v_{i}(x)-v_{j}(x)| \right)$$

Conclusion

We end up with $u^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ such that $u^{\varepsilon} \in SBV$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} |e(u^arepsilon)| + \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(J_{u^arepsilon}) \leq C \sum_{\xi \in oldsymbol{V}} M_{\xi} < +\infty$$

 \rightarrow (compactness and) lower-semicontinuity ensures the limit is *GBD*. (AC+Crismale, 2023/25.)